

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,895	07/03/2003	Toshiro Hiraoka	239735US2RD	3695
22850	7590 09/14/2006		EXAMINER	
	CCLELLAND	CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3729	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				F
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/611,895	HIRAOKA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Rick K. Chang	3729	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address -	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	uly 2006.		•
· <u> </u>		action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian requirement		
ا_ا(ه	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,	
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	! •
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) DNone of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in a	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	n received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau			
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>of record</u> .	6) Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/611,895

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The disclosure, as originally filed, fails to provide support for "after said selectively irradiating, filling pores in the latent image of the porous member with a conductive material to form a conductive portion connected to the electrode."
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

After selectively irradiating the porous member, it is unclear what state or condition the surrounding irradiated porous member is in. Therefore, it is inclusive to claim that the filling step will result in filling the pores. Further, it is unclear filling the pores will result in forming a conductive portion and capable of connecting to the electrode. Claims are ambiguous and competitors would be unable to discern the bounds of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,895

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-6, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda et al (US 7,017,264) in view of Tsukamoto et al (US 6,703,565).

Ikeda discloses the claimed invention in Figs. 1(a)-1(e) and 4(a)-4(d), wherein 11 is a porous member, 36 is a through hole, 37a is a conductive material, except for selectively irradiating to form holes.

Tsukamoto discloses selectively irradiating to form holes (502 is formed using a laser beam).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ikeda by selectively irradiating to form holes, as taught by Tsukamoto, for the purpose of providing holes free of burrs.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Interviews After Final

8. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if

Application/Control Number: 10/611,895 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

with only nominal further consideration. <u>Interviews merely to restate arguments of record</u>
or to discuss new limitations will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

- 9. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/611,895

Art Unit: 3729

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

> RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

RC September 11, 2006